

from garry.herrington@gmail.com , 27 March 2018

Problem with a Claimed Proof of the Riemann Hypothesis > Bergstrom 2008

§ 1 The Claim

Bergstrom 2008 claims a proof of the Riemann Hypothesis in section 9, "Proof of Conjecture 1.1" , page 8, and repeats this claim in FAQ #8 on page 39.

Quote from Bergstrom 2008, section 9, page 8

We then get

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2} | N^{(2s-1)} \pi^s (-4^s + 8^s) / \cos(\frac{1}{2} s \pi) (-2 + 2^s) (s + 2) (s + 1) s \Gamma(s - 3) | = 1 \quad (20)$$

This equation can be true only if the modulus of $N^{(2s-1)}$ is equal to $N^0 = 1$, which thus requires that,

$$\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$$

This thus proves Conjecture 1.1 that $\text{Re}(s)$ must be equal to $\frac{1}{2}$ for all zeros of the Riemann zeta-function $\zeta(s)$ in the range $0 < \text{Re}(s) < 1$.

End of quote

The equation in line (20) is false because two of the possible values of the limit do not equal 1 and the third possible value does not necessarily equal 1.

§ 2 Reformulation of the limit in (20)

If $a \in \mathbb{R}(0<)$ and $z, z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ then $|a^z| = a^{\text{Re}(z)}$, $a|z| = |az|$ and $|z_1 z_2| = |z_1| |z_2|$.

These relations can be used to reformulate (20) as $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} N^{(2\sigma-1)} f(s) \stackrel{?}{=} 1$ where,

$$f(s) \equiv | \pi^s (-4^s + 8^s) / 2 \cos(\frac{1}{2} s \pi) (-2 + 2^s) (s + 2) (s + 1) s \Gamma(s - 3) |$$

In (20), N is an arbitrary member of $\mathbb{Z}(\geq 1)$ and s an arbitrary member of \mathbb{C}' which is \mathbb{C} after excluding the singularities of $f(s)$.

As N and s are independent, relation(1) can be reformulated to $f(s) \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} N^{(2\sigma-1)} \stackrel{?}{=} 1$.

§ 3 The Problem

The equation in (20) is equivalent to relation(2). The possible values of the limit in relation(2) are,

- $2\sigma - 1 > 0 \longrightarrow f(s) \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} N^{(2\sigma - 1)} = \infty$
- $2\sigma - 1 = 0 \longrightarrow f(s) \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} N^{(2\sigma - 1)} = f(s_1)$ where $s_1 = \frac{1}{2} + it \in \mathbb{C}$
- $2\sigma - 1 < 0 \longrightarrow f(s) \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} N^{(2\sigma - 1)} = 0$

Hence, as stated in Bergstrom 2008 (see quote in § 1 above), if (20) is true then $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$. However if (20) is true then also $f(s_1) = 1$ and this is not necessarily true, for example $f(\frac{1}{2} + i) = 0.801$, from references (3,4).

Bergstrom 2008, FAQ #8, page 39 claims to prove $f(s_1) = 1$ but there is an error at the beginning of the proof.

Quote from Bergstrom 2008, FAQ #8, page 39

FAQ #8 (pages 8-9)

“Can you please show me the details of how the rest of the expression on the left-hand side of (20) becomes equal to the middle expression (which is unity) in Remark 9.2 on pages 8-9 in your preprint.”

ANSWER: Step1.

First I need to show that

$$|(s+2)(s+1) \dots \Gamma(s-3)| = |\Gamma(s)| \tag{1}$$

for $s = \frac{1}{2} + it$ as I say on top of page 9 in the preprint.

The following recurrence relation is valid for the gamma function (choose $n = 4$ in Abramowitz and Stegun, eq 6.1.16)

$$(z+3)(z+2)(z+1)\Gamma(z+1) = \Gamma(4+z) \tag{2}$$

End of quote

The relation in line (2) is valid for $z \in \mathbb{C}$ after excluding $\mathbb{Z}(\leq 0)$ which is the set of singularities of $\Gamma(z)$.

When $s = 4+z$ then line (2) becomes, $(s-1)(s-2)(s-3)\Gamma(s-3) = \Gamma(s)$

Relation(3) is valid for $s \in \mathbb{C}''$ which is \mathbb{C} after excluding $\mathbb{Z}(\leq 0) \cup \{1,2,3\}$, the set on which $\Gamma(s-3)$ and/or $\Gamma(s)$ is undefined.

The left side of line(1) is defined when $s \in \mathbb{C}''$.

If $s \in \mathbb{C}''$ then equating the left sides of relation(3) and line (1), and after rearrangement,

$$(|s-1|/|s+1|) (|s-2|/|s+2|) (|s-3|/|s|) \neq 1$$

Relation(4) is false because each factor in brackets is $\in \mathbb{R}(0,1)$.

As relation(3) is true then the falsity of relation(4) implies the falsity of line (1) for $s \in \mathbb{C}''$ which includes all values of s with $\text{Re}(s) = \frac{1}{2}$.

References

1. Abramowitz,M. and Stegun,I., "Handbook of Mathematical Functions", Dover, 7e
2. Bergstrom,A., "Proof of Riemann's Zeta-Hypothesis", arXiv:0809.5120v18 [math.GM]
3. Calculation of functions of a complex variable, Microsoft Excel 2007 > Formulas > More Functions > Engineering
4. Online calculator of $\Gamma(z)$ for complex inputs, <http://members.chello.nl/k.ijntema/gamma.html>

End