Acceleration of global warming due to carbon-cycle feedbacks in a coupled climate model
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The continued increase in the atmospheric concentration of carbon dioxide due to anthropogenic emissions is predicted to lead to significant changes in climate. About half of the current emissions are being absorbed by the ocean and by land ecosystems, but this absorption is sensitive to climate as well as to atmospheric carbon dioxide concentrations, creating a feedback loop. General circulation models have generally excluded the feedback between climate and the biosphere, using static vegetation distributions and CO₂ concentrations from simple carbon-cycle models that do not include climate change. Here we present results from a fully coupled, three-dimensional carbon-climate model, indicating that carbon-cycle feedbacks could significantly accelerate climate change over the twenty-first century. We find that under a 'business as usual' scenario, the terrestrial biosphere acts as an overall carbon sink until about 2050, but turns into a source thereafter. By 2100, the ocean uptake rate of 5 Gt C yr⁻¹ is balanced by the terrestrial carbon source, and atmospheric CO₂ concentrations are 250 p.p.m.v higher in our fully coupled simulation than in uncoupled carbon models, resulting in a global-mean warming of 5.5 K, as compared to 4 K without the carbon-cycle feedback.

The general circulation model (GCM) that we used is based on the third Hadley Centre coupled ocean-atmosphere model, HadCM3, which we have coupled to an ocean carbon-cycle model (HadOCC) and a dynamic global vegetation model (TRIFFID). The atmospheric physics and dynamics of our GCM are identical to those used in HadCM3, but the additional computational expense of including an interactive carbon cycle made it necessary to reduce the ocean resolution to 2.5° x 3.75°, necessitating the use of flux adjustments in the ocean component to counteract climate drift. HadOCC accounts for the atmosphere-ocean exchange of CO₂ and the transfer of CO₂ to depth through both the solubility pump and the biological pump. TRIFFID models the state of the biosphere in terms of the soil carbon, and the structure and coverage of five functional types of plant within each model gridbox (broadleaf tree, needleleaf tree, C₃ grass, C₄ grass and shrub). Further details on HadOCC and TRIFFID are given in Methods.

The coupled climate-carbon-cycle model was brought to equilibrium with a 'pre-industrial' atmospheric CO₂ concentration of 290 p.p.m.v, starting from an observed landcover data set. The resulting state was stable, with negligible net land-atmosphere and
Figure 2 shows results from the fully coupled run. From 1860 to 2000, the simulated stores of carbon in the ocean and on land increase by about 100 Gt C and 75 Gt C, respectively. However, the atmospheric CO$_2$ is 15–20 p.p.m. too high by the present day (corresponding to a timing error of about 10 years). Possible reasons for this include an overestimate of the prescribed net land-use emissions and the absence of other important climate forcing factors. The modelled global mean temperature increase from 1860 to 2000 is about 1.4 K (Fig. 3b), which is higher than observed, probably due to the absence of cooling from anthropogenic aerosols. Offline tests suggest that such a relative warming can suppress the terrestrial carbon sink by enhancing soil and plant respiration. Nevertheless, the rate of increase of CO$_2$ from 1950 to 2000 closely follows the recent observational record, which implies that the airborne fraction is being well simulated over this period. For the 20 years centred on 1985, the mean land and ocean uptake of carbon are 1.5 and 1.6 Gt yr$^{-1}$, respectively (compare best estimates for the 1980s of 1.8 $\pm$ 1.8 and 2.0 $\pm$ 0.8 Gt yr$^{-1}$). The model therefore captures the most important characteristics of the present-day carbon cycle.

The simulated atmospheric CO$_2$ diverges much more rapidly from the standard IS92a concentration scenario in the future. First, vegetation carbon in South America begins to decline, as a drying and warming of Amazonia initiates loss of forest (Fig. 4a). This is driven purely by climate change, as can be seen by comparing the fully coupled run (red lines) to the run without global warming (blue lines). The effects of anthropogenic deforestation on land cover are neglected in both cases. A second critical point is reached at about 2050, when the land biosphere as a whole switches from being a weak sink for CO$_2$ to being a strong source (Fig. 2). The reduction in terrestrial carbon from around 2050 onward is associated with a widespread climate-driven loss of soil carbon (Fig. 4b). An increase in the concentration of atmospheric CO$_2$ alone tends to increase the rate of photosynthesis and thus terrestrial carbon storage, provided that other resources are not limiting. However, plant maintenance and soil respiration rates both increase with temperature. As a consequence, climate warming (the indirect effect of a CO$_2$ increase) tends to reduce terrestrial carbon storage, especially in the warmer regions where an increase in temperature is
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**Figure 1** Modelled and observed interannual variability in the atmospheric CO$_2$ concentration. The figure shows the anomaly in the growth rate of atmospheric CO$_2$ versus the Nino3 index, taken from our pre-industrial control simulation (crosses) and the Mauna Loa observations (triangles). (The Nino3 index is the annual mean sea surface temperature anomaly in the tropical Pacific, 150°W–90°W, 5°S–5°N.) The gradients of the dashed and dot-dashed lines represent the sensitivity of the carbon cycle to ENSO, as given by the observations and the model, respectively. We have excluded observations that immediately follow major volcanic events (data points shown by diamonds), since during these years the carbon cycle may have been significantly perturbed by the induced tropospheric cooling.
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**Figure 2** Budgets of carbon during the fully coupled simulation. The thick line shows the simulated change in atmospheric CO$_2$. The thinner lines show the integrated impact of the emissions, and of land and ocean fluxes, on the atmospheric CO$_2$ increase, with negative values implying net uptake of CO$_2$. We note that the terrestrial biosphere takes up CO$_2$ at a decreasing rate from about 2010 onwards, becoming a net source at around 2050. By 2100 this source from the land almost balances the oceanic sink, so that atmospheric carbon content is increasing at about the same rate as the integrated emissions (that is, the airborne fraction is $\sim1$).
not beneficial for photosynthesis. At low CO₂ concentrations the direct effect of CO₂ dominates, and both vegetation and soil carbon increase with atmospheric CO₂. But as CO₂ increases further, terrestrial carbon begins to decrease, because the direct effect of CO₂ on photosynthesis saturates but the specific soil respiration rate continues to increase with temperature. The transition between these two regimes occurs abruptly at around 2050 in this experiment (Fig. 4b). The carbon stored on land decreases by about 170 Gt C from 2000 to 2100, accelerating the rate of atmospheric CO₂ increase over this period.

The ocean takes up about 400 Gt C over the same period, but at a rate which is asymptotically approaching 5 Gt C yr⁻¹ by 2100. This reduced efficiency of oceanic uptake is partly a consequence of the nonlinear dependence of the partial pressure of dissolved CO₂ on the total ocean carbon concentration, but may also have contributions from climate change. Although the thermohaline circulation of the ocean weakens by about 25% from 2000 to 2100, this is much less of a reduction than seen in some previous simulations, and the corresponding effect on ocean carbon uptake is less significant. In our experiment, increased thermal stratification due to warming of the sea surface suppresses upwelling, which reduces nutrient availability and lowers primary production by about 5%. However, ocean-only tests suggest a small effect of climate change on oceanic carbon uptake, as this reduction in the biological pump is compensated by reduced upwelling of deep waters which have high concentrations of total carbon.

By 2100 the modelled CO₂ concentration is about 980 p.p.m.v. in the coupled experiment, which is more than 250 p.p.m.v. higher than the standard 1892a scenario or that simulated in the 'offline' experiment (Fig. 3a). As a result, the global-mean land temperatures increase from 1860 to 2100 by about 8 K, rather than the 5.5 K of the standard scenario (Fig. 3b).

These numerical experiments demonstrate the potential importance of climate/carbon-cycle feedbacks, but the magnitude of these in the real Earth system is still highly uncertain. Terrestrial carbon models differ in their responses to climate change, owing to gaps in basic understanding of processes. For example, the potential conversion of the global terrestrial carbon sink to a source is critically dependent upon the long-term sensitivity of soil respiration to global warming, which is still a subject of debate. The experiments presented here exclude the potentially large direct human influences on terrestrial carbon uptake through changes in landcover and land management. Local effects, such as the possible climate-driven loss of the Amazon rainforest, rest upon uncertain aspects of regional climate change, and may be 'short-circuited' by direct human deforestation. A full assessment of the uncertainties must await further coupled experiments utilizing alternative representations of processes and including a more complete set of natural
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**Figure 3** Effect of climate/carbon-cycle feedbacks on CO₂ increase and global warming. a. Global-mean CO₂ concentration, and b. global-mean and land-mean temperature, versus year. Three simulations are shown: the fully coupled simulation with interactive CO₂ and dynamic vegetation (red lines), a standard GCM climate change simulation with prescribed (1892a) CO₂ concentration and fixed vegetation (dot-dashed lines), and the simulation which neglects direct CO₂-induced climate change (blue lines). The slight warming in the latter is due to CO₂-induced changes in stomatal conductance and vegetation distribution.
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**Figure 4** Effect of global warming on changes in land carbon storage. The red lines represent the fully coupled climate/carbon-cycle simulation, and the blue lines are from the 'offline' simulation which neglects direct CO₂-induced climate change. The figure shows simulated changes in vegetation carbon (a) and soil carbon (b) for the global land area (continuous lines) and South America alone (dashed lines).
and anthropogenic forcing factors (for example, land-use change, forest fires, sulphate aerosol concentrations and nitrogen deposition). However, our results indicate that it will be essential to accurately represent previously neglected carbon-cycle feedbacks if we are to successfully predict climate change over the next 100 years.

**Methods**

**Ocean carbon-cycle model**

The inorganic component of HadOCC has been extensively tested as part of the Ocean Carbon Cycle Intercomparison Project; it was found to reproduce tracer distributions to an accuracy consistent with other ocean GCMs. The biological component treats four additional oceanic fields: nutrient, phytoplankton, zooplankton and detritus. The phytoplankton population changes as a result of the balance between growth, which is controlled by light level and the local concentration of nutrient, and mortality, which is mostly as a result of grazing by zooplankton. Detritus, which is formed by zooplankton excretion and by phyto- and zooplankton mortality, sinks at a fixed rate and slowly remineralizes to reform nutrient and dissolved inorganic carbon. Thus both nutrient and carbon are absorbed by phytoplankton near the ocean surface, pass up the food chain to zooplankton, and are eventually remineralized from detritus in the deeper ocean. The model also includes the formation of calcium carbonate and its dissolution at depth (below the lysocline).

**Terrestrial carbon-cycle model**

TRIFFID (top-down representation of interactive foliage and flora including dynamics) has been used offline in a comparison of dynamic global vegetation models. Carbon fluxes for each vegetation type are calculated every 30 minutes as a function of climate and atmospheric CO2 concentration, from a coupled photosynthesis/stomatal-conductance scheme, which utilizes existing models of leaf level photosynthesis in C3 and C4 plants. The accumulated fluxes are used to update the vegetation and soil carbon every 10 days. The model evolves dynamically based on competition between the vegetation types, which is modelled using a Kotek–Volterra approach and a tree–shrub–grass dominance hierarchy. We also prescribe some agricultural regions; in which grasslands are assumed to be dominant, carbon lost from the vegetation as a result of local litterfall or large-scale disturbance is transferred into a soil carbon pool, where it is broken down by microorganisms that return CO2 to the atmosphere. The soil respiration rate is assumed to double for every 10 K of warming, and is also dependent on the soil moisture content. Changes in the biophysical properties of the land surface, as well as changes in terrestrial carbon, feed back onto the atmosphere.

Received 6 January; accepted 26 September 2000.


**Acknowledgements**

We thank J. Mitchell and G. Jenkins for comments on earlier versions of the manuscript. This work was supported by the UK Department of the Environment, Transport and the Regions.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to P.M.G. (e-mail: p.mcg@meto.gov.uk).