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This was proved by R.A. Fisheer in 1940 and states that
if $(X, \mathcal{B})$ is a $2-(v, k, \lambda)$ design with $v>k \geq 2$ and having $b$ blocks then $b \geq v$.

The usual approach is to assume the contrary, that is, that $b<v$ and derive a contradiction. This we do.

Label the points as $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{v}$ and the blocks as $B_{1}, \ldots, B_{b}$. We define the incidence matrix $M$ as follows: it is a $b$-by- $v$ matrix whose $(i, j)$-entry is

$$
m_{i, j}= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } P_{j} \in B_{i} \\ 0 & \text { if } P_{j} \notin B_{i}\end{cases}
$$

Define $N=M^{t} M$ where $M^{t}$ is the transpose of $M$. Then $N$ is a $v$-by- $v$ matrix with $(j, k)$-entry

$$
n_{j, k}=\sum_{i=1}^{b} m_{i, j} m_{i, k} .
$$

Now $n_{j, k}=1$ if both $P_{j} \in B_{i}$ and $P_{k} \in B_{i}$, and $n_{j, k}=0$ otherwise. Therefore $n_{j, k}$ is the number of blocks containing both the points $P_{j}$ and $P_{k}$. When $j \neq k$, then $n_{j, k}=\lambda$ by the definition of design. On the other hand $n_{j, j}$ is the number of blocks containing $P_{j}$. By an earlier theorem,

$$
n_{j, j}=b^{\prime}=\lambda \frac{\binom{v-1}{1}}{\binom{k-1}{1}}=\lambda \frac{v-1}{k-1} .
$$

Therefore $b^{\prime}>\lambda>0$.
We have shown that

$$
N=\left(b^{\prime}-\lambda\right) I+\lambda J
$$

where $I$ is the $v$-by- $v$ identity matrix and $J$ is the $v$-by- $v$ matrix consisting entirely of 1 s . We claim that $N$ is a non-singular matrix. Most texts prove
this by computing its determinant. I'll do it by instead finding an inverse for $N$. You might want to look up the determinant computation in the literature (or do it yourself!),

Fairly obviously,

$$
J^{2}=v J
$$

and so

$$
J N=\left(b^{\prime}-\lambda\right) J+v \lambda J=\left(b^{\prime}-\lambda+v \lambda\right) J .
$$

As $b^{\prime}>\lambda$ and $\lambda>0$ then $b^{\prime}-\lambda+v \lambda>0$ and so

$$
J=\frac{1}{b^{\prime}-\lambda+v \lambda} J N
$$

Then

$$
\left(b^{\prime}-\lambda\right) I=N-\lambda J=\left(I-\frac{\lambda}{b^{\prime}-\lambda+v \lambda} J\right) N .
$$

As $b^{\prime}-\lambda>0$, then $N$ has the inverse

$$
N^{-1}=\frac{1}{b^{\prime}-\lambda}\left(I-\frac{\lambda}{b^{\prime}-\lambda+v \lambda} J\right) .
$$

Recall we are assuming that $b<v$. This means there is a nonzero vector x with $M \mathrm{x}=0$ (reduce $M$ to echelon form...). Then $N \mathrm{x}=M^{t} M \mathrm{x}=0$ and so $\mathbf{x}=I \mathbf{x}=N^{-1} N \mathbf{x}=0$. This contradiction shows that the hypothesis $b<v$ is untenable. Therefore we have proved Fisher's inequality: $b \geq v$.

I set Fisher's inequality as an exam question in 2003.

