


Observation Section

observe lecture

Name of lecturer	Hugo Lambert	Name of observer	Theo Economou
Date/Time	10/02/2017	College/Programme	CEMPS/Mathematics
Brief session description	<i>Climate change & the greenhouse effect</i>	No. of students expected and no. attending	8 / 4
Focus of observation	Lecture [EMH723]		

Session element and prompts	Notes	Example of good practice/area for development
<p>Session content/structure:</p> <p>Learner-centred approach used?</p> <p>Were aims and ILOs made clear?</p> <p>Was there a logical and coherent structure to the session?</p> <p>Was signposting used?</p> <p>Comment on:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Research informed • International perspective • Transferable skills 	<p>Material & equations were well explained and linked to previous lectures.</p> <p>Students prompted at appropriate times.</p> <p>Goal link of lecture to more complicated climate models.</p>	<p>Research-led teaching with good use of references to academic papers.</p>
<p>Learning activities, resources and student engagement:</p> <p>Was power point used effectively?</p> <p>Were resources appropriate to ILOs?</p> <p>Were learners actively engaged?</p>	<p>Good, clear slides with annotated diagrams. Good use of whiteboard</p>	
<p>Assessment for learning:</p> <p>What methods of assessment were used?</p> <p>How is this session linked to summative assessment?</p>		
<p>Summary and consolidation of learning:</p> <p>Was there a summary provided?</p> <p>What consolidation work was set?</p>	<p>Good conclusion to the ocean material & the El Niño material.</p>	

Feedback Section

Areas of good practice	Evidence in the session observed	Suggestions for sharing good practice
1. Hugo is clearly an expert in the subject and uses current research to form the material	Good set of notes, with appropriate references.	
2.		
3.		
Areas for development	Evidence in the session observed	Suggested activity to support development
1. The lecture was very good. The main problem I thought was the room itself.	- Whiteboards was were of poor quality. - Projector/whiteboard configuration not ideal	
2.		
3.		
Next steps agreed in feedback meeting		
Aspects of this session that you would like to make known to your College/Service and the University	Peter Chalk 2.5 (the room lecture was held) is badly designed. PC screen blocking parts of whiteboard. Also whiteboard is of poor quality. Poor soundproofing.	
Signature of observer		Date: 10/02/2017
Signature of lecturer observed	Hugo Lambert	Date: 10/2/17